



LIPSITZ & McALLISTER, LLC

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS

BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 8
755 MAIN STREET
MONROE, CONNECTICUT 06468

BARRY R. LIPSITZ
DOUGLAS M. McALLISTER

TELEPHONE: (203) 459-0200
FACSIMILE: (203) 459-0201

In re Application of: **Christof Kindervater**
Application No.: **10/608,277**
Filed: **June 27, 2003**
For: **ENERGY ABSORBING SYSTEMS AND PROCESSES, AND PROCESSES
FOR THE PRODUCTION OF ENERGY ABSORBING STRUCTURAL
ELEMENTS**

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Art Unit: **1772**
Examiner: **M. Miggins**


Sir:

Transmitted herewith is:

- ☒ Response to Restriction Requirement (2 pages)
- ☒ Return receipt postage prepaid postcard;
- ☒ I certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: **Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on January 19, 2006.**

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the payment of the required fee(s) or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0625.

Very truly yours,


Douglas M. McAllister
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 37,886
Lipsitz & McAllister, LLC
755 Main Street
Monroe, Connecticut 06468
(203) 459-0200

Attorney Docket No.: **HOE-492.1**



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Christof Kindervater) Examiner: M. Miggins
Application No.: 10/608,277) Art Unit: 1772
Filed: June 27, 2003)

For: **ENERGY ABSORBING SYSTEMS AND PROCESSES, AND PROCESSES
FOR THE PRODUCTION OF ENERGY ABSORBING STRUCTURAL
ELEMENTS**

MAIL STOP: Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on January 19, 2006.

Signature: Carol Prentice
Carol Prentice

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dear Sir:

This is a response to the second Restriction Requirement mailed on January 12, 2006.

REMARKS

Summary

The Examiner has issued a second restriction requirement due to the fact that the Examiner identified the wrong class and subclass for the invention covered by claims 35-78 in the original restriction requirement mailed on September 29, 2005.